## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by Stewart N. Thomas under the supervision of Professor A. L. Ternay Jr.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$
$M_{r}=285.19$
Monoclinic
I2/a
$a=21.311(4) \AA$
$b=3.9080(8) \AA$
$c=27.581(6) \AA$
$\beta=97.49(3)^{\circ}$
$V=2277.4(8) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.664 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured

## Data collection

Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: analytical from crystal shape
$T_{\text {min }}=0.82, T_{\text {max }}=0.91$
2598 measured reflections 2598 independent reflections

## Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

$\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 45 reflections
$\theta=21.6-29.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.900 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=163$ (2) K
Needle
$0.25 \times 0.16 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ Colorless

2146 reflections with

$$
I>2 \sigma(I)
$$

$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.51^{\circ}$
$h=-26 \rightarrow 27$
$k=-4 \rightarrow 5$
$l=-35 \rightarrow 7$
4 standard reflections every 96 reflections intensity decay: $<1 \%$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.032$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.085$
$S=1.05$
2597 reflections
169 parameters
H atoms: see below
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.035 P)^{2}\right]$

$$
\text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3
$$

$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.39 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.41 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances range from 0.91 (2) to 0.95 (2) $\AA$, while the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ angles range from $118.4(1)$ to $121.6(1)^{\circ}$. The $U_{\text {iso }}$ values of the H atoms vary from 0.0275 (5) to 0.046 (7) $\AA^{2}$.

Data collection: Syntex $P 2_{1}$ software. Cell refinement: LSCEL (Harlow, 1975). Data reduction: INCON (Riley \& Davis, 1976). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93. Molecular graphics: SHELXL93. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

The authors thank Dr Raymond E. Davis for helpful comments. Funding for this work was provided by the Robert A. Welch Foundation, Grant No. F-0017.
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#### Abstract

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, is the minor product of the Wittig reaction of ( S )- N -triphenylmethylaspartic anhydride with the stabilized ylide $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$. The crystal structure determination unambiguously shows that this product is not the originally proposed $Z$ isomer of the major product ( $S$ ), (E)-5-methoxycarbonyl-2-triphenylmethylaminohex-4-en-4-olide, but the alternative $\alpha$-adduct, also with an $E$ configuration at the $\mathrm{C} 4=\mathrm{C} 5$ double bond.


## Comment

We have recently shown that the readily available ( $S$ )-$N$-triphenylmethylaspartic anhydride, (1), can be applied in the asymmetric synthesis of amino acid and peptide derivatives through its reactions with a variety of nucleophiles (Athanassopoulos et al., 1995). In particular, the Wittig reaction of anhydride (1) with the stabilized ylide $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ produced a mixture of two isomeric enol-lactones in the ratio 6:1.7.

[^1]Although the structure of the major product was unambiguously shown by single-crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis (Nastopoulos et al., 1996) to be the enol-lactone (2), the structure of the minor product was assigned as the enol-lactone (3) based solely on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR arguments. As the two compounds gave unexpectedly different products upon reaction with dimethylamine (Papaioannou, 1997), we decided to determine the structure of the minor product by X-ray analysis. In this paper, we describe the crystal structure of the title enollactone, (4), which unambiguously shows that the minor product of the reaction is not the alternative geometric isomer (3) of the $\beta$-adduct, but indeed the $\alpha$-adduct (4) of the Wittig reaction, and that the $\mathrm{C} 4=\mathrm{C} 5$ double bond also has an $E$ configuration.

(1)

(3)

(2)

(4)

A comparison of the crystal structures of enollactones (2) and (4) can readily explain the observed multiplicity of the resonances attributed to protons H 2 (a triplet with $J=9.30 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and H3 (a doublet with $J=7.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of these compounds. Thus, the dihedral angles $\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A$ and $\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 B$ are $21.05(9)$ and $142.10(3)^{\circ}$, respectively in (2), and the corresponding dihedral angles $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A$ and $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 B$ in (4) are $18.00(10)$ and $102.77(7)^{\circ}$, respectively. The triphenylmethyl moiety adopts a propeller-like conformation in order to minimize steric crowding of the phenyl rings in this group (Destro et al., 1980), while the rest of the molecule shows overall planarity, as expected for such a conjugated system. The distances and angles of the core enol-lactone moiety are comparable to those reported for enol-lactone (2). There are no hydrogen bonds. The absolute configuration of the molecule, which was chosen to agree with the known chirality of ( $S$ )- $N$-triphenylmethylaspartic anhydride, (1), from which (4) was synthesized, is depicted in Fig. 1.


Fig. 1. View of the title molecule with the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. $\mathbf{H}$ atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary radii.

## Experimental

The Wittig reagent $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of anhydride (1) $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) and the resulting solution was kept at ambient temperature for 20 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting oily residue was taken up in ethyl acetate and washed sequentially with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent removed to leave a residue which was subjected to flash column chromatography using the solvent system petroleum ether ( $313-333 \mathrm{~K}$ )/ethyl acetate ( $8.5: 1.5$ ) as the eluant. The fractions with $R_{f}=0.60$, for the same solvent system, were pooled and gave crystalline enol-lactone (4) $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \%)$ on evaporation of the solvents. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from diethyl ether-hexane.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$
$M_{r}=427.48$
Monoclinic
$P 2_{1}$
$a=10.880(4) \AA$
$b=8.875(3) \AA$
$c=11.845(5) \AA$
$\beta=100.89(6)^{\circ}$
$V=1123.2(7) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.266 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured

## Data collection

Philips PW1100 diffractometer updated by Stoe $\omega-2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: none 3477 measured reflections 3476 independent reflections 2542 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

$\lambda=0.71069 \AA$
Cell parameters from 32 reflections
$\theta=10.4-19.6^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.085 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Prism
$0.60 \times 0.40 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$
Colourless

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.024 \\
& \theta_{\max }=30.03^{\circ} \\
& h=-15 \rightarrow 15 \\
& k=0 \rightarrow 12 \\
& l=0 \rightarrow 16 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { frequency: } 120 \mathrm{~min} \\
& \quad \text { intensity decay: } 2.5 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.061$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.142$
$S=1.153$
3476 reflections
294 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.1088 P)^{2}\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.332 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.487 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{max}} \AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none
Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.18 .3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.517(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.380(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.340(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.476(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.382(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.527(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.473(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.484(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.515(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $119.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $101.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $131.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $109.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $109.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $110.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $105.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $118.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $94.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-173.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $-17.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $163.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $77.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $-179.4(2)$ |

The absolute structure Flack (1983) parameter $[\chi=1.8$ (17)] was inconclusive; the absolute configuration was deduced from the known stereochemistry of the synthesis. H atoms were placed in calculated positions and thereafter allowed to ride on their parent atoms with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$, while methyl group H atoms were assigned $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$. For the H atoms of the C6 methyl group, the torsion angle was also refined. The H atom on N 1 was located from a difference map and refined isotropically.

Data collection: DIF4 (Stoe \& Cie, 1987a). Cell refinement: DIF4. Data reduction: REDU4 (Stoe \& Cie, 1987b). Program used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 1990). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93. Other programs include PARST (Nardelli, 1983).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: AB1492). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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## Abstract

The crystal structure of 15,17-di-2-propenylcalix[4] arene-25,26,27,28-tetrol [systematic name: 15,17-di-2-propenylpentacyclo[ $19.3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1^{3,7} \cdot 1^{9,13} \cdot 1^{15,19}$ ]octacosa1(25), $3,5,7(26), 9,11,13(27), 15,17,19(28), 21,23$-dodeca-ene-25,26,27,28-tetrol], $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, was determined. The calix[4]arene molecule shows a cone conformation, stabilized by a ring-like hydrogen-bond pattern of the four hydroxyl H atoms. In the crystal structure, complexation of one of the propenyl side chains in the cavity of another calixarene molecule is found. The other side chain shows $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions with a neighboring calixarene molecule.

## Comment

Calix[4]arenes have received considerable attention in the field of supramolecular chemistry because they can form inclusion complexes with cations, anions or neutral molecules (Gutsche, 1989; Vicens \& Böhmer, 1991; Böhmer, 1995). The conformation of calixarenes has been studied both in the solid state (Andreetti \& Ugozolli, 1991) and in solution (Groenen et al., 1991). Four different conformations can be identified.

(I)

The title compound, (I), has a regular cone conformation (Fig. 1), stabilized by four hydrogen bonds connecting the O atoms in a ring-like fashion. Hydrogenbond data are included in Table 1. The regularity of the conformation is evidenced by the distances between neighboring O atoms [2.661 (3), 2.642 (3), 2.659 (3) and


[^0]:    Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BK1346). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

[^1]:    $\dagger$ Alternative name: methyl 2-[5-oxo-3-(triphenylmethylamino)tetra-hydrofuran-2-ylidene]propanoate.

